
Discussion of „**How Doas International Capital Flow**”
written by Michael Kumhof, Phurichai Rungcharoenkitkul
and Andrej Sokol

Jan Brůha

Czech National Bank

Monetary and financial stability policies in a changing economic landscape,
14 June 2024



Main paper messages

- Very interesting paper on a very important topic – I applaud the authors for a new and fresh look at important question
- The paper features a rich two country structural model with banking sectors – I applaud the authors for the elaborate model

- I take three main messages from the paper:
 - The *net* current account as a risk indicator – the structure and the amount of the foreign gross debt matters
 - The global saving glut – domestic sources of external position imbalances should not be ignored
 - High correlation of gross foreign assets and liabilities – this empirical regularity is not a puzzle after all

- The paper illustrates the model properties on a number of scenarios (shocks) that have been prominent in debates on external position sustainability and related adjustment mechanisms
 - These are mostly shocks to parameters in home bias parameters that influence the portfolio choices of agents
 - Very useful
 - Nevertheless, one may ask to which extent these parameters are really structural?
 - They may capture unmodeled effects of regulation or of sentiment (if I loose trust in a foreign country, probably my home bias would increase)
- What about more standard shocks, such as a demand shock or a policy shock?
- Would the rich structure of the model change the transmission of the more standard shocks?

Implications for External Sustainability Indicators

- One of the paper main message is that it is not sufficient to look at net balances and BoP positions on credit and debit side are what really matters
 - I really welcome this message and it should be carved to the stone
- However, many existing external position indicators are expressed in net terms
 - E.g. Macroeconomic Imbalance Procedure
 - 3-year backward moving average of the current account balance as percent of GDP, with thresholds of +6% and -4%
 - net international investment position as percent of GDP, with a threshold of -35%
 - Others:
 - Foreign exchange reserves/short-term debt (Guidotti–Greenspan rule: the ratio should be at least 1)
 - And many more
- What would be the implications of paper findings with respect to these indicators?
 - Should we still follow them?
 - The existing indicators proved useful in empirical work on prediction crises
 - Or should we reform them in some way?

High Correlation of Gross Capital Flows

- One of the paper highlights is the model-implied correlation of gross capital inflows and outflows
- The correlation is well documented in literature
- Two comments on this:
 1. From the paper I am unsure whether this is just the implication of the double entry nature of the BoP statistics (each transaction is necessarily reported on both sides)
 - Or is there something more?
 2. Empirics: gross flows in data are indeed huge. Can the model replicate the magnitude?

Conclusion

- Very interesting and policy-relevant paper and I learnt much from reading it
- To summary, I have three points to discussion:
 1. Transmission of standard shocks through the model
 2. Implications for sustainability indicators
 3. High correlation of gross flows? Empirics?