

Discussion of “ADVERSE EFFECTS OF MONETARY
POLICY SIGNALLING” by J. Filacek and J. Mateju

Michalis Rousakis

University of Oxford

18 May 2015

Motivation

- Central Banks often possess information not available to private agents (e.g., Romer and Romer (2000))
- An informational dimension then attached to monetary policy
- How does this modify the effects of monetary policy?

Overview

- **Forward-looking** CB has **superior** information about future state of the economy
- **Nominal interest rate** serves as a noisy public signal about future inflation and output: “**updating channel**”
- Updating channel distorts, and can even **reverse**, monetary policy transmission in the presence of **partially rational** agents
- Might bring the price puzzle (Sims, 1992) to mind
- Evidence from a sample of OECD countries

Zooming in

- New Keynesian framework as in Clarida, Gali, Gertler (1999)
- CB's policy rate signals its beliefs about future inflation and the future output gap:

$$i_t = \rho + \phi_\pi E_t^F [\pi_{t+1}] + \phi_y E_t^F [y_{t+1}] + \epsilon_t$$

- Two types of sectors: rational (F) and “partially rational” (P) with shares Ω and $1 - \Omega$ (each sector comprises consumers and producers)

Zooming in (2)

- Partially rational agents' (partially adaptive) expectations given by

$$E^P [Z_{t+1}^P] = \gamma^Z \left(E^f [Z_{t+1}^{AG}] + \frac{\epsilon_t}{\phi_Z} \right) + (1 - \gamma^Z) Z_t^P, \text{ where } Z = \{\pi, y\}$$

- As $\Omega \downarrow, \gamma \uparrow$, updating channel becomes stronger
- Contractionary policies make partially rational agents optimistic:

$$E^P [\pi_{t+1}^P] \uparrow, E^P [y_{t+1}^P] \uparrow$$

- ▶ can drive up both output and inflation in the P sector

Comments and suggestions

- Main result nice and intuitive
- 'Rationalize' or, even better, endogenize partial rationality:
 - ▶ on the former, inattentiveness seems quite natural: partially rational agents' expectations resemble those in Mankiw, Reis (2002)
 - ▶ on the latter, possibly consider rational inattention (Sims (2003), Mackowiak, Wiederholt (2009))
- Could agents mistake demand shocks for surprise monetary policy shocks?

More comments and more suggestions

- How does partial rationality interfere with nominal rigidities?
- Optimal CB transparency and volatility due to surprise monetary policy shocks:
 - ▶ seems to be inviting a tradeoff
 - ▶ if CBs too transparent, then any mistakes/surprise shocks will impede the monetary policy transmission and destabilize the economy
- Forward guidance (also Gallo (2015))